

**Committee on Standards  
BCM Review Group**

Meeting Notes

**IFLA WLIC 2018 Kuala Lumpur**

Sunday, August 26 -- 8:00-10:30

Room 301 (Session 85)

Monday, August 27 -- 16:15-18:45

Room 303 (Session 173)

Attendance: see Appendix A

Regrets: Barbora Drobikova, Ben Gu, Tanja Merčun, Françoise Leresche

1) Welcome and announcements

2) Agenda approved with addition of 9.5, report from the LIDATEC Liaison

3) Minutes of 2017 business meeting approved

4) Chair's report

4.1) activities report 2017-2018

* brief highlight of a few points in the report
* full report at: <https://www.ifla.org/node/794>

4.2) governance

The new procedures for nomination and election were approved by Committee on Standards and the Professional Committee. The only change is that the closing date for nominations is not February but January. Reminder that 2019 is an election year and that we will be using the new procedures. IFLA HQ will send out the call for nominations in October 2018. The RG is responsible for setting the criteria for selection.

4.3) Committee on Standards Global Vision report

* the RG contributed to the report submitted by the Committee on Standards
* the opportunities for action selected by CoS and the RGs:

4. We must keep up with ongoing technological changes

5. We need more and better advocates at all levels

7. We need to develop a spirit of collaboration

8. We need to challenge current structures and behaviours

9. We need to maximize access to the world’s documentary heritage

4.2) report on the first Committee on Standards business meeting

See minutes of the CoS meeting for full details: <https://www.ifla.org/standards-committee/meetings>

* ISBD RG prepared a plan to revise ISBD to achieve alignment with IFLA-LRM; the proposal was approved by CoS.
* Now that RGs report to CoS, concern about maintaining a relationship to IFLA committees who are experts in the same domain
* Still discussion about what is a minor update and what is a revision – and the procedures for each
* Need for coordination between standards
* The Division III Chair was proposing that the funds required for partial support of development work on standards should be allocated to the Committee on Standards to create a more agile and responsive procedure for funding requests. This had been the original intention when CoS was formed. [This change was approved by the Professional Committee after the RG’s meetings:

*“Due to the intensive and ongoing work of the Review Groups, and to avoid unnecessary administration and delays, the Professional Committee agreed to the Committee on Standards’ request to set aside 6000 Euros each year from Professional Committee Funds (from 2019 until further notice) for the part-funding of Review Group meetings. The amount will be confirmed in December of the preceding year following the Governing Board’s approval of the budget. The amount corresponds to the average amount requested per year 2014 - 2018 by the Review Groups. Requests for funding above 6000 Euros will also be considered by the Professional Committee” –* letter from the Professional Officer to CoS members.]

5) Update on IFLA Namespaces

[updated with news received after the 2nd business meeting]

At the beginning of WLIC, there was no news. During the business meetings, there were concerns about the progress on funding for the IFLA namespaces. However, during the final meeting of the Governing Board on August 30th, the GB made the decision to move forward with the IFLA namespaces; they approved immediate funding for the first installment of work and committed to sustain the funding.

6) LRMOO (Pat)

6.1) update

* see Activity report 2017-2018 for the LRMOO Working Group report: <https://www.ifla.org/node/794>
* two funding requests were successful and provided partial support for members to attend in-person meetings with our museum partners, CIDOC CRM SIG (ICOM)

6.2) review of the LRM(er) to LRMOO mapping

* Pat began by providing some context – how for a long time FRBROO was the only extension of CIDOC CRM, so one of the decisions made for FRBROO no longer makes sense when there are now many extensions. FRBROO had some classes and properties that were equivalent to CIDOC CRM ones but were “replicated” and given FRBROO numbering. LRMOO will not use this methodology; for equivalent classes and properties, it will not replicate them, but will use the common set.
* Pat then led the RG through a review of the mapping; she also pointed out the “sample” documents at the wiki, demonstrating reworked scope notes. The WG requested comments from RG members before October 15th (on the mapping, but also on the sample documents) so that they can review the comments and prepare for the next meeting with CIDOC CRM SIG.

6.3) next steps

* Continue the work. It takes time because the method of working on the CIDOC CRM side requires at least three meetings for each change to be approved and finalized. Their process has three steps: the original proposal, a decision to incorporate, and then a final review to confirm the decision. The steps happen at different meetings, allowing for time to consider carefully if the right decision has been made.
* Under discussion is the degree to which PRESSOO should be incorporated into LRMOO; Mélanie will be the liaison for the PRESSOO RG on the LRMOO WG

7) IFLA LRM

7.1) supporting documents for the website: documents that help to explain and promote the use of LRM

* + Re-use by linking to existing and “authoritative” papers and presentations
  + Develop new documentation geared to promoting the use of LRM

7.2) making IFLA LRM better known and understood

* + Think of audiences: there are technical audiences but also audiences new to bibliographic conceptual models
  + Visualizations; infographics

8) Website (brief report with detailed consultation after adjournment)

* IFLA is moving to a new website and website structure by August 2019

8.1) BCM RG Website Working Group

* Now has five members: Agnese, Massimo, Matthew, Saeedeh, Chris
* With the name change completed, ready to start work

8.2) review changes to date

* Since many of the changes are only parts of web pages, this was not discussed and will be part of the overall review

8.3) plan for review and implementing changes

* Start with a brainstorming exercise at the end of the second business meeting

9) Reports from liaisons:

9.1) PRESSOO Review Group (Mélanie)

9.2) ISSN Network (Clément) 9.3) ISBD Review Group (Massimo for Françoise) 9.3.1 Update

9.3.2 ISBD-LRM alignment

9.4) RDA Steering Committee (Gordon)

9.5) LIDATEC (Ana)

A topic that was addressed during the time of the liaison reports was the duplication of information. This applies in general to all reports from other committees, whether parent committees, such as CoS or liaisons. The same information is relayed at many meetings and slows down the work of each group/committee. There was discussion and consensus about the possibility of having joint “information sessions” for the RGs, and then we each proceed with our specific agendas and work. This suggestion was also proposed at the CoS business meeting 2.

10) Updates from other projects, groups, individuals relating to IFLA’s bibliographic conceptual models (including publications)

10.1) International Cataloguing Principles (ICP) (Agnese)

The Revision Task Force submitted a written and an oral report. See appendix B for the written report.

11) Future of the FRBR listserv

Action: discontinue the list

12) BCM RG Action plan: updates for 2018-2019

* BCM RG criteria for selection of members
  + Will be based on the criteria we have used in recent calls for nominations
* Work on the website
  + name change
  + general review and updating
  + clarify that FRBR/FRAD/FRSAD are deprecated
* Supporting documents to promote use of LRM
  + Re-use by linking to existing and “authoritative” papers and presentations
  + Develop new documentation geared to promoting the use of LRM
  + Think of audiences, such as people new to bibliographic conceptual models

13) Meetings at IFLA WLIC 2019 in Athens, Greece

Usual two meetings. No need for an all-day consultation.

14) Other business

None.

15) Formal adjournment of the business meeting

16) BCM Review Group website consultation

* The BCM RG Website Working Group discussed changes to the website.

**Appendix A –Attendance: list of participants**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Institution** | **Country** | **Status** | **Mtg 1/2** |
| Akbari Daryan, Saeedeh | National Library and Archives of Iran | Iran | corresponding member | 1 + 2 |
| Aliverti, Christian | Swiss National Library | Switzerland | observer | 1 |
| Beacom, Matthew | Yale University | USA | member | 1 + 2 |
| Behrens, Renate | Deutsche Nationalbibliothek | Germany | observer | 1 |
| Berthoud, Heidy | Smithsonian Libraries | USA | observer | 1 |
| Björkhem, Miriam | National Library of Sweden | Sweden | observer | 2 |
| Bliūdžiuvienė, Nijolė | National Library of Lithuania | Lithuania | observer | 1 |
| Boulet, Vincent | Bibliothèque nationale de France | France | observer | 1 + 2 |
| Chan, Aster | Macau Polytechnic Institute | Macau | observer | 1 |
| Du Grandlaunay, René Vincent | Dominican Institute for Oriental Studies | Egypt | observer | 1 + 2 |
| Dunsire, Gordon | Independent | UK | RDA Steering Committee liaison | 1 + 2 |
| Galeffi, Agnese | Sapienza Library System | Italy | member | 1 + 2 |
| Gentili-Tedeschi, Massimo | Istituto centrale per il catalogo unico | Italy | member | 1 + 2 |
| Goldberga, Anita | National Library of Latvia | Latvia | member | 1 + 2 |
| Kartus, Ebe | University of New England | Australia | observer | 1 + 2 |
| Leonard, William | Library and Archives Canada | Canada | observer | 1 |
| Mazic, Gordana | IZUM - Institut of Information Science Maribor | Slovenia | observer | 1 + 2 |
| Moss, Izaruddin | Sarawak State Library | Malaysia | observer | 1 |
| Muñoz, Alejandra | Library of Congress Chile | Chile | observer | 1 + 2 |
| Niknia, Massoomeh | Kharazmi University | Iran | observer | 1 + 2 |
| Oliver, Chris | University of Ottawa | Canada | Chair of RG | 1 + 2 |
| Oury, Clément | ISSN International Centre | France | ISSN liaison | 1 + 2 |
| Pun, Priscilla | University of Macau | Macau | observer | 1 |
| Riva, Pat | Concordia University | Canada | Chair of LRMOO Working Group | 1 + 2 |
| Roche, Melanie | Bibliothèque nationale de France | France | member | 1 + 2 |
| Salaba, Athena | Kent State University | USA | member | 1 + 2 |
| Santos, Ricardo | National Library of Spain | Spain | observer | 1 |
| Seppälä, Marja Liisa | National Library of Finland | Finland | member | 1 + 2 |
| Slapsinskiene, Diana | National Library of Lithuania | Lithuania | observer | 1 |
| Vukadin, Ana | National and University Library | Croatia | member | 1 + 2 |
| Weitz, Jay | OCLC | USA | observer | 1 + 2 |
| Wright, Jenny | Bibliographic Data Services Ltd. | Great Britain | observer | 1 + 2 |
| Žumer, Maja | University of Ljubljana | Slovenia | member of LRMOO Working Group | 1 + 2 |

**Appendix A Report from the ICP Revision Task Group**

Report for the Bibliographic Conceptual Models Review Group

The revision of the ICP following the publication of IFLA LRM was carried out after WLIC 2017. The ICP revision Task Group was formed by Elena Escolano Rodriguez, Agnese Galeffi (chair), and Dorothy McGarry.

Since this has been defined as a “soft revision”, the final draft was sent to Committee of Standards on July 6 and forwarded to the Professional Committee for the final endorsement.

The most important issues discussed among the Task Group were related to the terminology and the definitions in the Glossary. In this sense, the relationship between ICP and LRM (and other IFLA standards) is crucial and deserves a more in-depth analysis in order to verify if it is possible to establish a kind of hierarchy of abstraction among standards of different nature. The hierarchy may also be useful to infer the best language to be used for the variety of documents listed as IFLA standards. For the same reasons, the Task Group has also had some email exchange with Melanie Roche as chair of the MulDiCat Editorial Group (MEG) about the degree of consistency among definitions in different IFLA standards.

A paper entitled *Mutual influence among IFLA standards. The ICP case* will be presented during the WLIC2018 Committee on Standards Open session (session 74) <http://library.ifla.org/2207/1/074-escolano-en.pdf>

Furthermore, many translations of 2016 ICP have been published. The latest is the German one edited by Renate Behrens and Ingeborg Töpler (Deutsche Nationalbibliothek) and Christian Aliverti (Schweizerische Nationalbibliothek NB). Currently, nine versions are available (German, Spanish, Finnish, Italian, Japanese, Latvian, Lithuanian, Romanian, and Chinese).

Respectfully submitted

Elena Escolano

July 29, 2018