Meetings:
ISBD Review Group
- Monday 21 August 2017, 10.45-13.15 (Conference Room B)
- Tuesday 22 August 2017, 8.00-10.30 (Conference Room C)
- Friday 25 August 2017, 16.00-18.00 (Wrocław University Library)

Attendees, members and liaisons: Renate Behrens (liaison with the RDA Steering Committee), Vincent Boulet (member), Gordon Dunsire (member, RDA Steering Committee chair), Elena Escolano Rodríguez (incoming member), Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi (chair, IAML liaison), Irena Kavčič (outgoing member), Françoise Leresche (outgoing member, FRBR Review Group liaison) Dorothy McGarry (member), Susan Morris (member), Clément Oury (member, ISSN Network liaison), Ricardo Santos Muñoz (member), Mélanie Roche (Bibliothèque nationale de France), Mirna Willer (member)

Apologies: Ben Gu (corresponding member), Iman Khairy Weheba (incoming member)

Attendees, representatives of other bodies and groups: Diane Beattie (Committee on Standards incoming chair), Anders Cato (LIDATEC incoming chair), Sven Larsson (LIDATEC outgoing chair), Gordana Mazić (Permanent UNIMARC Committee chair – 2nd meeting), Chris Oliver (FRBR Review Group chair), Pat Riva (FRBR Review Group Consolidation Editorial Group member), Miriam Säfström (Cataloguing Section chair), Jay Weitz (Permanent UNIMARC Committee vice-chair), Joanne Yeomans (Professional Committee secretary)

Observers, 1st meeting: Nadine Abec (National library of Luxemburg), Sadeeh Akbari-Daryan (National library and archives of Iran), Nijole Blaždžiuvienė (National library of Lithuania), Alejandra Muñoz Gómez (Library of congress, Chile), María Liisa Seppälä (National library of Finland), Jenny Wright (BDS)

Observers, 2nd meeting: Barbora Drobiková (Cataloguing Section Standing Committee), Alejandra Muñoz Gómez (Cataloguing Section Standing Committee), Kelley McGrath (University of Oregon), Angela Omirou (Biblioteca del Congreso, Chile), Sandy Roe (Cataloguing and Classification Quarterly), Daniel Saulean (University of Vermont), Marijana Tomiĉ (University of Zadar, Croatia), Jenny Wright (BDS)

Note that these minutes do not necessarily reflect the chronological order in which each topic was discussed.

1. Welcome and introductions
M. Gentili-Tedeschi welcomed the members, representatives of other bodies and groups, and observers. He thanked the outgoing members, María Violeta Bertolini, Françoise Leresche and Irena Kavčič.

2. Agenda
The agenda was adopted with the following changes:
Item 5.7 (RSC report) will be reported by Renate Behrens, item 5.9 is added: FRBRoo report (Clément Oury).

Minutes were approved.


4.1 Guidelines for use of ISBD as Linked Data (A. 1/16, M. Roche)

**Action 1/16:** Send final draft of *Guidelines for the use of ISBD as linked data* to the Cataloguing Section and to the Committee on Standards for final approval. Publish on the ISBD LD SG website

The final draft of the *Guidelines* was published on the IFLA website1 and sent to the Committee on Standards and to the Cataloguing Section for approval in May 2017, but no reply was given.

**Action 1/17:** Verify status of *Guidelines for the use of ISBD as linked data*, re-submit if needed: M. Gentili-Tedeschi, asap

4.2 Alignment of ISBD element set and FRBR element set (Actions 2-3/16, M. Roche)

**Action 2/16:** Finalise draft of *Alignment of ISBD element set with FRBR element set* revising the introduction

**Action 3/16:** Send final draft of *Alignment of ISBD element set with FRBR element set* to the Cataloguing Section and to the Committee on Standards for final approval

The Alignment was finalised, the draft sent in May 2017 for approval of the Cataloguing Section and the Committee on Standards, no reply was received. It is questionable if this kind of documents needs approval of the Committee on Standards, as they are properly not standards. The issue will be part of the ongoing discussion with the CoS concerning the terms of reference and the activities of the Review Groups.

4.3 Development of infrastructure for hosting IFLA bibliographic standards (Action 4/16, M. Willer)

**Action 4/16:** Follow LIDATEC discussion on developments of infrastructure for hosting IFLA bibliographic standards alignments and maps and report to ISBD RG

Publishing IFLA bibliographic standards on a suitable infrastructure for hosting is essential, and the existing version of the Open Metadata Registry urges that standards be updated; a document of the Professional Committee analysing the possible options has been presented to the Governing Board. The document concluded recommending the update of the OMR version (at a cost of 8,000 USD + a yearly fee of 10,000 USD). The statement was agreed by the chairs of the interested groups and by LIDATEC; Gordon Dunsire reminded that the RDA Steering Committee has also sent a message to the Governing Board supporting the decision, and that without an infrastructure there is no way to correspond with IFLA standards. However, the GB thanked and well received the document, but decided to postpone the decision to the next meeting in December, after consulting possible parties interested in sharing the expenses.

This delay has been strongly deprecated by the chair; a common action of chairs of interested groups should be taken.

4.4 Guidelines for translations of the IFLA ISBD namespace in RDF (A. 3/15, M. Gentili-Tedeschi)

**Action 3/15:** submit *Guidelines for translations of the IFLA ISBD namespace in RDF, ver. 1.0* to the Committee on Standards for approval and publication on the CoS website

The Guidelines were slightly revised by the ISBBD Linked Data Study Group according to the observations of the Review Group in the Columbus meeting of August 2016, submitted for approval in April 2017, approved by the Committee on Standards and endorsed by the Professional Committee at the 18 August 2017 meeting.

---

Action 2/17: Publish Guidelines for translations of the IFLA ISBD namespace in RDF, ver. 1.0 on the IFLA website (see A. 3/15): M. Gentili-Tedeschi, asap

4.5 Mapping ISBD Area 0 compound terms to ROF vocabularies (Action 5/16: G. Dunsire)

Action 5/16: Check the existing mapping of compound terms from ISBD Area 0 Content form and Content qualification with ROF base categories, send to ISBD RG, CS SC and LIDATEC for comments and approval, publish

Action 6/16: Update ISBD/RDA alignment if necessary and publish the mapping in RDF

Gordon Dunsire reported that no changes were detected, thus no action was needed, but that probably changes will occur in the future.

Action 3/17: Check the existing mapping of compound terms from ISBD Area 0 Content form and Content qualification with ROF base categories, send to ISBD RG, CS SC and LIDATEC for comments and approval, publish: G. Dunsire, ongoing

4.6 Alignment of ISBD element set to IFLA LRM element set (Action 7-13/16, E. Escolano)

Action 7/16: Draft Alignment of ISBD element set to IFLA LRM element set, present to the ISBD RG for further analysis

Action 8/16: Prepare project for alignment activities and submit to the Cataloguing Section for the Committee on Standards and the Professional Committee

Action 9/16: Set up a working group for the analysis of the alignment and impact of IFLA LRM on ISBD

Action 10/16: Organise face-to-face meeting of the working group

Action 11-13/16: Contact FRBR Review Group, RDA Steering Committee and ISSN International Centre to establish a liaison in order to co-ordinate the analysis work

An analysis group was set up, composed of Elena Escolano Rodríguez (chair), Renate Behrens (RDA representative), Dorothy McGarry, Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi, Clément Oury (ISSN representative), Pat Riva (LRM representative), Mélanie Roche. Anders Cato volunteered to join the group but had to give up, due to working reasons. The group worked mainly remotely, a face-to-face meeting was held in Paris, 6-8 April 2017. The work took longer than the expected three weeks because unexpected issues arose.

The group started from the alignment ISBD-FRBR, and considered all the transitional documents between FRBR and LRM, considering all elements and components declared in the namespace, including the syntax encoding schemes, and decided to preserve the nature and granularity of ISBD (as approved in the ISBD RG 2016 minutes).

Besides the alignment table, the group drafted a report outlining the main outcomes and issues from the analysis. These include the interpretation of the term “normally transcribed” from the attribute Manifestation statement, the exclusion from the alignment of non-published resources, not considered in the present ISBD, the extent to which ISBD elements have been aligned with relationships in LRM, limited for the moment to those that are more explicit in ISBD, such as for some notes and for area 6. The conclusion of the work was that ISBD may be developed as an implementation of LRM, and that more ISBD elements may be aligned to relationships in the model.

The alignment and the report were sent to the RG for comments on 23 May 2017, and on 11 July 2017 sent to the Committee on Standards for approval. However G. Dunsire objected during the first meeting both to the substance and the process of approval of the alignment, asking that the approval request to CoS be withdrawn, and that the review process be reopened for comments. Among the observations, the fact that the request was sent to CoS before the formal approval of LRM, and the inclusion of ISBD URIs in the alignment. His request was accepted, the documents will be used for internal purposes only, as a guide for the impact of the LRM and not as a standard per se. A new revision and publication process will start, and approval of CoS will be asked in due course if such kinds of documents will need the same approval process as new or revised standards.

2 It was later noticed that G. Dunsire was not inscribed to the RG’s mailing list and therefore did not receive the due documentation.
**Action 4/17:** Write to CoS to withdraw the request for approval of the Alignment of ISBD element set to IFLA LRM element set, reopen approval process: M. Gentili-Tedeschi, immediately³

G. Dunsire observed that the advice of LIDATEC is necessary to draft technical documents with an impact on linked data, and proposed to establish a formal liaison with LIDATEC. The motion carried.

**Action 5/17:** Contact LIDATEC to establish a liaison: M. Gentili-Tedeschi, immediately⁴

4.7 **Action 14/16:** Find possible candidates for nomination for 2017-2021

See item 9 below, Elections.

4.8 **“Scenario C”, Simplified and more principal ISBD (Action 15-17/16, F. Leresche)**

**Actions 15-17/16:** Try to figure out “simplified and more principal” text of ISBD and send report to ISBD RG; send considerations; evaluate results

A group of volunteers (Françoise Leresche, Vincent Boulet, Mathilde Koskas and Mélanie Roche) worked on figuring out the option to develop the ISBD in a simplified and more principled form, as proposed by some members of the Cataloguing Section (the so called “Scenario C”). The conclusion of the analysis was that “A principle-oriented ISBD, if economical, would be anything but cost-efficient. Indeed it would result in an empty nutshell, irrelevant in the broader context of IFLA standards, useless to those in need of a set of detailed cataloguing rules and lacking the necessary flexibility needed in a fast-changing metadata environment.”.

Even if in the meantime the ISBD Review Group does not report any more to the Section and has thus decided not to pursue that scenario, the report was presented to the Cataloguing Section for its midterm meeting on 24 April 2017.

4.9 **Mailing list of the ISBD Review Group (Action 18/16, M. Gentili-Tedeschi)**

**Actions 18/16:** Ask members of Review Group and Linked Data Study Group if they agree to set up a mailing list, if affirmative start procedure

The mailing list was approved and established in October 2016.

5. **Chair’s report**

M. Gentili-Tedeschi observed that the written report had been sent to the Review Group members and published on the IFLA website⁵, and that a large part of the report had already been discussed; in order to leave as much time as possible to the discussion on the development of the ISBD, he limited his speech to only a few essential points:

5.1 **Strategic plan for ISBD review**

See item 6 below, Development of the standard.

5.2 **ISBD activity report: Project and financial reports**

The Project 2016 Development of the International Standard Bibliographic Description was presented, with the following request: “2000 Euros: A two-day face-to-face meeting to be held in April/May 2017 of a working group to “draft Alignment of ISBD element set to IFLA-LRM element set, and discuss how the IFLA-LRM (new FRBR conceptual model) will impact on the ISBD in the process of revision of the standard present to the ISBD RG for further analysis”.

---

³ An email was sent by the ISBD RG chair to the chair and secretary of the Committee on Standard on 21 August 2017.

⁴ The proposal to establish a liaison was sent to the chair of LIDATEC on 21 August and approved by LIDATEC in its meeting of 24 August 2017.

The Professional Committee approved support of the meeting as requested. This amount was used to fund travel and accommodation for four members of the group, who met in Paris, at the Bibliothèque nationale de France, 6-8 April 2016. The meeting was thus attended in person by six members.

Thanks to funding from the institutions of some members of the group not all the amount was spent, so that the Professional Committee was able to re-allocate the remaining funds.

5.3 CS – ISBD Review Group Activities Report, August 2016 – August 2017


5.4 ISBD and the midterm meeting of the Cataloguing Section Standing Committee (Prague, 24 April 2017)

The Standing Committee of the Cataloguing Section met in Prague for its midterm meeting on 24 April 2017; M. Gentili-Tedeschi, who is a member of the SC was unable to attend in person, thus he took part virtually. He gave his report on the work on the simplified and more principled ISBD and announced the decision of the ISBD RG to start the revision work immediately after WLIC.

A written report of the meeting is available at https://www.ifla.org/files/assets/cataloguing/reports/minutes_midterm_april_2017.pdf.

5.5 Publications and presentations

Serbian translation of the ISBD Consolidated edition has been published, Polish, and Slovenian are in preparation, which will bring the number of languages in which the ISBD is available to 13.

An article about the ongoing activities of the ISBD Review Group in the IFLA Metadata newsletter is desirable. Mélanie Roche volunteered to draft one.

Action 6/17: Write an article about ISBD RG activities for the IFLA Metadata newsletter: M. Roche, deadline to be decided

6. Development of the standard
6.1 Actions to be planned for the revision of ISBD
6.2 Establishment of a Revision editorial committee
6.3 Deadline, meetings, communication
6.4 Linking with other communities, groups, sections, specialists, etc.
6.5 Issues on mapping and alignment with the FRBR-LRM
6.6 Issues on extending ISBD to non published resources
6.7 Proposals received since publication of ISBD Consolidated edition

The discussion on the development of ISBD took the largest part of the meetings. There was a long debate about how to implement LRM in ISBD, and on the degree to which ISBD should cover the model. Four scenarios were foreseen: ISBD being the full implementation of the model, which would imply a much greater effort, possibly up to five years; ISBD retained as an implementation of LRM as a full descriptive standard, mainly focused on, but not limited to, manifestation; ISBD providing solely LRM Manifestation statement, which would be a minor task, requiring possibly only one year of work; or ISBD not implementing the model, which would also be a short work, but would imply probably its abandonment as a standard in a short time.

There was a discussion about the issue of the exact meaning of the expression “normally transcribed” in the LRM attribute “Manifestation statement”, and its impact on the ISBD. The question will be raised to the FRBR RG, so that the work can be done on an agreed interpretation in the development of the ISBD.

Françoise Leresche, Mélanie Roche, Clément Oury and Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi expressed the opinion that ISBD should not be restricted to Manifestation only; Renate Behrens proposed to work in two steps: to concentrate first on Manifestation statement, then extend ISBD’s coverage to other entities; Mirna Willer highlighted the that ISBD for the use in Linked Data would require a new structure, and the need for the RG to position ISBD in the context of LRM, in coordination with other groups; Irena Kavčič,
Elena Escolano and Susan Morris supported the idea to explore all options, and be flexible as LRM allows for that; Gordon Dunsire envisaged the possibility of anticipating the corrections and updates in an appendix to the present text, and to limit the ISBD to the Manifestation statement. It was remembered that LRM has also an impact on GARR, which will have to be faced, and that IFLA standards should keep consistency.

Some members of the Review Group volunteered, offering to start collecting observations, subjects and materials that will be needed for the revision of the content.

**Action 7/17:** A working group is charged to present options for consideration by the IFLA Committee on Standards, so that a decision can be made on how to implement the LRM in the future version of ISBD: Members: Renate Behrens, Elena Escolano Rodriguez, Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi, Françoise Leresche, Dorothy McGarry, Chris Oliver (FRBR RG), Clément Oury, Mélanie Roche, February 2018.

**Action 8/17:** Ask of the FRBR Review Group the exact meaning of the expression “normally transcribed” in the Scope Note of the LRM attribute “Manifestation statement”: ISBD RG, September 2017

7. Status of projects, activities and issues arising during IFLA meetings
7.1 Cataloguing Section’s Standing Committee (M. Säfström)

M. Säfstrom reported on the activities of the session, see the Standing Committee reports.8

7.2 ISBD Linked Data Study Group (M. Roche)

M. Roche reported briefly on the activities that are mainly presented in these minutes; see ISBD LD SG meeting report.9

7.3 FRBR Review Group (Ch. Oliver)

The new IFLA LRM model was approved by the Committee on Standards with only minor observations on the subtitle and adding a clarifying sentence on the title page, and endorsed by the Professional Committee on 18 August.

The next steps are the revision of FRBR, in collaboration with CIDOC, which most probably will be called LRM.

This year some members of the FRBR Review Group ended their terms; new members for 2017-2021 are Matthew Beacom (Yale University), Anita Goldberga (National library of Latvia), Mélanie Roche (Bibliothèque nationale de France), Marja Liis Seppälä (National library of Finland), and Ana Vukadin (National and University Library in Zagreb).

7.4 Linked Data Technical Sub-Committee (LIDATEC, L. Svensson)

Most LIDATEC members are ending their terms this year, the only one remaining on the Committee is Gordon Dunsire; new chair will be Anders Cato. Gordon Dunsire proposed to establish a liaison with LIDATEC, the proposal was accepted.

**Action 9/17:** Contact LIDATEC to establish a liaison: M. Gentili-Tedeschi, immediately10

7.5 Permanent UNIMARC Committee (G. Mazić)

The Permanent UNIMARC Committee held the usual annual meeting in Lisbon, 20-21 March 2017, to discuss the updates to the format.11

---

7 In a discussion at the full-day meeting held at the University Library of Wroclaw on 25 August, followed by an email discussion, the Terms of reference of the group were defined, see Appendix to these minutes.
9 https://www.ifla.org/node/861.
10 The proposal was sent to the chair LIDATEC on 21 August, and accepted at LIDATEC meeting of 24 August.
7.6 Committee on Standards (D. Beattie)

The nomination process for the members of the Review Groups that was used this year may be a prototype that will be repeated in the future; in the near future the Committee will focus on the Terms of reference for the Review Groups, that will be discussed with the chairs of the groups.

An action plan for the following two years for the work of the Review Group has been asked. The Standards manual will be revised, as well as a clearer definition of what a standard is and of what is considered a minor revision not needing to follow a revision process.

The chairs of the Review Groups will be granted access to the shared platform on Basecamp.

**Action 9/17**: Present to CoS 2 years working plan: M. Gentili-Tedeschi, September 2017

**Action 10/17**: Present to CoS documentation on terms of reference: M. Gentili-Tedeschi

**Action 11/17**: Present to CoS themes for WLIC 2018: all, September 2017

**Action 12/17**: Plan with CoS nomination process: M. Gentili-Tedeschi

**Action 13/17**: Send Alignment table ISBD-LRM to ISBD RG and FRBR RG for comments: M. Gentili-Tedeschi, immediately

**Action 14/17**: Discuss comments, revise and publish: all

7.7 RDA Steering Committee (RSC) (R. Behrens)

The 3R (RDA restructure and redesign) project was the major focus of the RSC in 2017; further details on RSC activities may be found in the annual report at http://www.rda-rsc.org/sites/all/files/RSC-Annual-report-2017-final.pdf.

7.8 ISSN Network (C. Oury)

At the end of 2016, the ISSN International Register contained 1,943,572 records, with an increase of 58,696 new records in 2016; 23,867 online resources (and 622 resources on CD-ROM) were identified in 2016: more than 40% of the total of assigned ISSNs. There are now 201,663 online resources (and 18,107 on CD-ROM) in the Register: for the first time, digital resources represent more than 10% of the total number of records.

As a group, the ISSN Review Group issued an official comment on the draft FRBR-Library Reference Model (now IFLA LRM), during the world-wide review phase of the draft model (February-May 2016). These comments were essentially related to the fields of expertise of the ISSN RG: serial publications and online publications, and were taken into account in the subsequent release of the LRM.

The implementation of the LRM is one of the future tasks of the ISSN Network.

7.9 PRESSoo (C. Oury)

On May 2016, PRESSoo 1.2 was sent to the IFLA Committee on Standards for formal approval as an IFLA standard, at the same time as FRBRoo version 2.4.

The reviewers eventually considered that the document could not be endorsed by IFLA CoS as such, but needed to be revised. The reviewers called for some clarifications, regarding the content of the model itself because its status as PRESSoo had not been originally designed in the framework of IFLA.

A revised version, taking into account CoS comments, was published in September 2016. The standard, re-named “Definition of PRESSoo, A conceptual model for Bibliographic Information Pertaining to Serials and Other Continuing Resources” (version 1.3) was finally approved by the Committee on Standards and endorsed by the Professional Committee on 31 March 2017 and is now available online at https://www.ifla.org/publications/node/11408.

8. Relations with other international organizations and committees: updates from other projects and groups

There were no updates or reports.
9. Elections

Violeta Bertolini had to resign from the Review Group in January 2017 due to her employment on the IFLA staff as Advocacy communications officer; Irena Kavčič and Françoise Leresche ended their second terms. The number of members of the RGs and the nomination process are not yet fully defined; an interim solution was discussed in a closed meeting with the incoming chair of the Committee on Standards, Diane Beattie, the professional support officer, Joanne Yeomans and a representative of the FRBR Review Group, Pat Riva (replacing the chair, Chris Oliver, who was unable to attend). It was decided that members should be from 8 to 10, and nominations were the object of a plain discussion. Corresponding members should serve for terms of two years, renewable once. Members of the ISBD RG for 2017-2021 were nominated Renate Behrens, Elena Escolano Rodríguez, and Iman Khairy Weheba.

The ISBD RG has thus 11 members, with the following terms:
- Renate Behrens 1st term ends 2021
- Vincent Boulet 1st term ends 2019
- Gordon Dunsire 1st term ends 2019
- Elena Escolano Rodríguez 2nd term ends 2021
- Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi 2nd term ends 2019
- Iman Khairy Weheba 1st term ends 2021
- Dorothy McGarry 1st term ends 2019
- Susan R. Morris 1st term ends 2019
- Clément Oury 1st term ends 2019
- Ricardo Santos 2nd term ends 2021
- Mirna Willer 2nd term ends 2019

Irena Kavčič and Françoise Leresche were asked if they would consider to continue to serve the RG as corresponding members, and they kindly accepted.

Corresponding liaisons for the RG are Renate Behrens (RDA Steering Committee), Anders Cato (Danish Agency for Culture), Patrizia Martini (Commissione RICA), Regina Varniënė-Janssen (Kompjuterinių bibliografinių ir autoritetinių įrašų sudarymo metodika), Takahiro Watanabe (Committee on Cataloging of the Japan Library Association), Iman Khairy Weheba (Qatar National Library).

10. Joint meeting RSC-NUKAT-ISBD RG, Friday 25 August 2017

A full-day joint meeting on the impact of LRM on cataloguing, co-organised by the RDA Steering Committee, the national Polish cataloguing agency (NUKAT), EURIG and the ISBD Review Group, took place at the Wrocław University Library on 25 August, with the title Impact of the IFLA Library Reference Model on ISBD, RDA and Other Bibliographic Standards: A Working Meeting. The meeting was chaired by Gordon Dunsire; opening presentations, available at http://www.bu.uni.wroc.pl/en/ifla-presentations, were followed by an open discussion.

The ISBD Review Group members present at the meeting gathered after the meeting in order to evaluate the outcomes of the meeting and consider actions to be taken, included in these minutes.

11. Any other business

No other business was reported.

Respectfully submitted by
Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi, ISBD Review Group, Chair
Milano, 25 September 2017

Attachments:
ISBD RG Action List, August 2017
Appendix: Working group

Terms of reference

Aim
A working group is established with the task to present options for consideration to the IFLA Committee on Standards, so that a decision can be made on how to implement the LRM in the future version of ISBD.

Output and timeframe
The group will produce an option-appraisal based on a draft alignment between the ISBD and the LRM element sets\(^\text{12}\) that strongly suggests that the whole of ISBD fits under the LRM Manifestation Statement only. The group will work online and plan for one face-to-face meeting, and they will send their report to the Committee on Standards by February 2018. Based on their recommendations, the Committee on Standards will decide on what the architecture of the future ISBD will look like.

Scope
The group is tasked with the investigation of the two options that arose during the ISBD Review Group meeting in Wroclaw, 22 August 2017:

1. option 1: the future ISBD aligns with the LRM Manifestation Statement only, and as a result is significantly trimmed down;
2. option 2: aligning ISBD with the Manifestation Statement only is not enough to express ISBD’s fine granularity, and the future ISBD is therefore seen as a full-on implementation of the whole LRM.

The report written by the group shall investigate into the implications of those two options in terms of cost and relevance. The appraisal will be made keeping in mind the rules of the LRM (that is, linked data). The group may eventually propose a third, middle-ground approach if they come across one in the process.

Roster

Renate Behrens
Elena Escolano Rodriguez
Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi
Françoise Leresche
Dorothy McGarry
Chris Oliver (FRBR RG)
Clément Oury
Mélanie Roche

\(^{12}\) Internal document.