OBJECTIVE:
Revision of comments coming from the worldwide review process and agreement on major issues for the first edition of the Consolidated ISBD

AGENDA:

- Welcome
- Confirmation of Agenda: some important topics would be addressed first and then revise the rest of the issues by areas. Comments in the wiki by consultants that were requested and members that could not attend the meeting were considered. Previous to the meeting was agreed by the entire review group to accept decisions made during the meeting.
- Decisions on the issues addressed are as follows:
- Area 0 addressing the worldwide review comments on the area and agreements made at the Gothenburg ISBD RG meeting (Lynne Howarth report). As the draft was revised, the group made changes in wording in agreement with Howarth, see attached for the final result. Some decisions were postponed until decisions were made on the list of elements, then were revised again according to it. Decisions made were:
  o Wording was agreed to recommend great specificity in recording content form and media types and give all terms that are applicable, not assuming that any are implied. Adding the reason of such decision as “providing full information of content form and media type will facilitate access for all types of users with specific needs”. At the same time keeping the flexibility for those libraries other than national agencies or those that participate in cooperative networks, giving the exception of using terms as “multiple content forms” or “multiple media”. According with this decision “Unmediated” was recorded in the media types table.
There is no flexibility in the location of area 0, decided, reviewed and again decided in Gothenburg meeting.

- Area 0 Contents has to list only the 2 elements, as the Content qualification was considered sub-element.
- The recommendation of revision of content forms Image and Object for possible overlapping was considered and long discussed. It was decided there is no overlapping in ISBD content forms. ISBD is more specific than the RDA/ONIX Framework (ROF), that is, the mapping is one to many. The ISBD content form term “object” according to ROF Appendix C could map to Character: image; Sensory Mode: sight; Image Dimensionality: three dimensional; Image Movement: still, as in the Sample category label column of this appendix "three-dimensional object", but our view is that it should be mapped to the ROF Character attribute "other". In Image term definition has been added example of image 3-dimensional for more clarification; and also referenced from the Object definition, in order to get more consistency among ISBD Content form terms. In RDA Three dimensional definition is said …”shapes meant to be viewed from multiple sides…” a cartographic globe content is essentially this is not possible to access the content from one side as it could be with raised relief maps, which content is accessed at once from one position. See photograph attached.
- Examples were added included in the rule of Content qualification. It was decided to include more examples taken from the ones on the RDA-ISBD mapping
- The RDA-ISBD mapping was revised and considered interesting but it was decided that will be published separately not as appendix of ISBD. Reasons are that more mappings with other codes should be added and is not possible to maintain updating these mappings according with the updating of the codes.

Revision of the report of the ISBD-XML Study Group and the list of elements of the ISBD to be added in A.3.1: The list of elements provided by ISBD-XML SG was revised. The decisions taken include:

- Make the structure simpler by eliminating the super-element, so title now is an element with element subtypes under it, and material or type of resources area (super-element) has been deleted as considered not necessary.
- Aggregated statements were kept under the supposition that they were necessary for the RDF declaration, but the group is expecting answer to its consultation. In revising it, some inconsistencies were detected, especially between area 3 and area 5.
- In area 3 Statement of coordinates and equinox (aggregated statement), there was agreement was that equinox is an element. This aggregate should be at the same level as the element statement of scale or statement of projection. This situation would be parallel with Other physical details (aggregated statement) in area 5, defining as elements the statement of material composed… and the Statement of presence of illustration; however, in this area Specific material designation and extent could be considered the same way, but it was accepted as an element because of the strong relationship between the information, so under it were accepted the
SMD and the extent as sub-elements. Same with Accompanying material statement (aggregated statement).

- It was decided to simplify the Note area (aggregated statement) with a Note (element) under it, from which would depend hierarchically all the notes defined as element subtypes.
- Two names of elements have been changed: Additional place of publication, to Additions to a place of publication; and Parallel statement of publisher to Parallel name of publisher.
- For other changes and additional information see the attached schema on which are marked up all the changes that are different from the last list proposed, except the Numbering area (aggregated statement) reorganization that was decided at the beginning before starting to work with “track changes”.

According with the decisions made on the list, the table in A.3.1 was revised, addressing all the recommendations made in Gothenburg of explaining the mandatory, mandatory if applicable and available, repeatability conditions and also about the parallel elements of information in order to simplify the table.

Revision of the comments on the draft:

- Introduction and other general comments file, following comments:
  - Line 30-31 comment on other kind of libraries missing: it was decided to change the wording to … by national bibliographic agencies, national libraries and other libraries”
  - C. Factual errors, 2 on the citation: it was decided to accept Glenn Patton’s recommendation: “As a paper presented at the Frankfurt IFLA Meeting of Experts on an International Cataloguing Code (IME ICC) had cautioned…”
  - About Examples: it was agreed not to delete and maintaining examples that were in other languages than English or at least leave one in such languages. And it was accepted to include the French example provided under 1.1.4.5 for cartographic series, and also in area 6 making reference each other area.

- General chapter A:
  A.4 Prescribed/Preferred: The group has tried with this differentiation to clarify and distinguish between the 2 concepts that were mixed before in order to be more precise. It was decided to maintain these 2 terms and:
  - again revise the text looking for where it could had not been well applied;
  - revise the definitions in the glossary to make clearer as: Preferred: includes title, fullest information; chosen for each type of resource according to an order of preference. Prescribed: defined for each area; generally include preferred source
  - In Area 6: move 2 paragraphs after Prescribed sources to come after list of sources
  - In Area 4, prescribed sources for OMR change to title page, title-page substitute, colophon
A.1.2 Willer’s suggestion of adding a new bullet making reference to the portability of ISBD data to the semantic web was accepted. To include the information in the last bullet but reversing the information or as a new bullet, editorial decision.

A.2 recommendation or rearranging ISBD pulling together all concerning to a new record requirements. It was decided to leave as it is.
A.2.6.2 It was decided to add at the end of the first sentence: For serials…, even if the title remains the same.
A.4.3 second comment on restituting as prescribed source of information for older monographic resources in area 1, 2 and 4 “or title page substitute”. Decision: In Area 4, prescribed sources for OMR change to title page, title-page substitute, colophon

Consider revision of definition of series in order to precise that can be either a kind of serial or a kind of monographic resource.

- Area 1:
  1.1.3.5 – It was decided to expand the wording of the specific for music including the part of the definition suggested by Glenn Patton on “… or a generic term used frequently by different composers”.
  1.1.4.4 – It was agreed to reinstate it.
  1.1.4.5 – Decision: The map examples provided by BnF of Aix-en-Provence and also the one of Partie du Nord will be included in relationship with the examples in area 6.
  1.1.5.2 – Decided to move the 3 examples of different authors to 1.4.5.11.2, and leave here the edition sentence and also in 1.4.5.11.2
  1.1.5.3.1 – First comment already decided in Frankfurt meeting. Delete parenthetical statement about other title information in 1.1.5.3.1. In 1.3.4.6, remove other title information relating to common title and give options for including in area 6 or area 7.
  1.1.5.4 - Add sentence to 1.1.4.4 about resources with displayed text used as title (see 1.1.5.4)
  1.1.6 No change

- Area 3:
  3.3 "source of numbering" deletion - It was decided to add “If the first and/or last issue or part or a surrogate thereof…” in introduction, and also repeated bellow.
Prescribed source
Restore sentence about brackets

3.3 reorganization proposals - it was agreed a mix of John Hostage’s and the French proposals: Create new 3.3.5 Parallel system, 3.3.6 Subsequent system, added to John Hostage’s draft
Move Stipulation On facsimiles to end of introduction

- Area 4:
  Prescribed sources comment of older monographic resources – already dealt with chapter A, see for decisions.
  4.6.2 Move 4.6.3 to 4.6.1; then 4.6.1 as 4.6.2. Delete 4.6.2 except example. Merge older monographic resources

- Area 5:
  Suggestion for title of the area, at the beginning – Discussed when analyzing the list of elements. Decision to change to Material description area
  Decision was made to add examples for remote electronic resources

5.1 suggestion also in 5.1.2
5.1.2 Gentilli-Tedschi proposal
Change “Exception” to “Optionally: for printed texts and notated music in one volume, the number of physical units may be omitted. The extent statement then consists of the pagination of the volume (see 5.1.4)”
Make similar change in 5.1.3
Representative from IAMl was consulted and response received just after meeting final time, on 22 of October. Accepted the comment is considered editorial matter to accommodate as better as possible.

Add examples of on-line resources as: 1 online resource (1 file) or with the nº of MB; 1 website; 1 streaming video

5.1.3 LC comment – Consideration of this comment got the group into the reorganization of the Extent. Decisions: Change definition of extent to include units and subunits
5.1 Extent
5.1.1
5.1.2 SMD
5.1.3 Subunits
Delete reference to 5.1.4 in 5.1.1

5.1.4 – In 5.1.4.1.5 specific for older monographic resources reestablish the first part of the sentence as: When the whole resource is unpaginated or unfoliated, a note on the signatures when considered important for users of the catalogue.

5.2.4.2 - Move PAL ex. from 5.2.7 to 5.2.4.2, but with PAL in parentheses. Reinstate rule for explanatory phrases.
5.2.5.1 LC comment – Decision: Delete 2nd half of sentence after “microforms” from “having…”

5.2.7 – The group decided we don’t have enough information to address the issue, for the next edition if it is provided a more specific suggestion could be discussed.

5.3.1.1 last comment  Decision on not to change the title and leave as it is.

- Area 6:
  General, 3rd comment about the title of the area. It was decided to keep the title of the area and at least to consider the definition of “series” so there would not be a conflict.
  6.1 – comment considered not consistent with ISBD was disregarded.
  6.6.2 – Decision: Omit numbering of main series
  Delete last example

- Area 7:
  Introductory note- Decision: Make changes in the first and 2nd paragraph to simplify and make it less prescriptive, indicating relationships in way appropriate to the situation
  7.0.4 – Mode of access, kept mandatory but reestablishing the examples as they were in ISBD(ER); add URL: http://www.un.org
  7.2.4.6 – Decision: make the note mandatory by changing wording to “is given”
  7.2.4.7- Decided to leave as it is.
  7.5.2 – Make clear the rule is addressing other manifestations availability.

- Area 8:
  Comment from Stewart in 8.1.3 on qualifier- to be consistent with other areas qualifications, as for ex. with area 0, decision: Qualifiers in one set of parentheses, separated by space, semicolon, space

- Appendixes comments. Decisions:
  Appendix A
  Add Text (visual) : unmediated
  And Text (visual) : unmediated + Music (performed) : audio
  
  Appendix B
  Delete example, accepted comment.
Appendix C
Decided to maintain the app. But split bibliography into international standards and other sources consulted.

Appendix D
Delete ISO 832. Disregarded LC comment as the solution was to put at the end of the last sentence and would be less visible.

Glossary
Date of publication etc.: different from dates related to intellectual resp. (AV)