

IFLA
Division III - Library Services
Classification and indexing Section

Standing Committee Meetings
78th IFLA General Conference and Council
Helsinki, Finland

SC1 - Saturday, 11 August 2012, 15.15-17.45 (Room 204-205)

SC2 - Wednesday, 15 August 2012, 9:45-11.15 (Room 208)

Present: Marie Balikova, Jo-Anne Bélair (chair/treasurer), Giuseppe (Pino) Buizza, John C. DeSantis, Gordon Dunsire, Mauro Guerrini, John Hostage, Lynne Howarth, Yvonne Jahns, Sirje Nilbe, Edward (Ed) T. O'Neill, Eunice Maria Silva Pinto, Sandra (Sandy) K. Roe, Wen Song, Janis L. Young, Elena Zagorskaya, Maja Žumer

Absent: Thierry Bouchet, Sun Mi Kim, Jagtar Singh, Magdalena Svanberg (secretary)

Observers: Mirja Anttila, Victoria Barsukova, Julianne Beall, Dorota Chłopkowska, Elise Conradi, Angela Green, Dorothy McGarry, Joan Mitchell, Tadahiko Oshiba, Federica Paradisi, Pat Riva, Ingebjørg Rype, Marja-Liisa Seppälä, Ekaterina Zaytseva, Anne Vusitalo

SC1

1. Welcome and introductions

Jo-Anne asked for volunteers to serve as secretary in light of Magdalena Svanberg's resignation. Sandy Roe volunteered. Then Jo-Anne welcomed everyone to the meeting, members and observers introduced themselves, and a roster was passed around for updates.

2. Approval of the agenda

Add item 8.2 Overview of the Tallinn Satellite Meeting (Sirje Nilbe).

The agenda was approved as amended.

3. Minutes of the San Juan Meetings, 2011

The minutes were approved.

4. Financial report

4.1 Admin funds

Jo-Anne reported that each section has 400 euros for admin funds, and the guidelines and policy on admin funds are currently under review. A new budget and guidelines are expected to be available not later than December 2012. Projects funds are allocated upon approval of specific proposals, and the call for applications is expected to open in October, be decided by the PC at their December meeting, and be announced by February. Projects should be new activities that result in new products or new knowledge or outcomes that are based on IFLA's Key Initiatives and embedded in the professional unit's action plan (see the slide presentation given at the Leadership Forum earlier today, <http://www.ifla.org/files/assets/hq/officers/documents/leadership-brief-august-2012.pdf>, slides 20-25). Discussion followed.

5. Report from the Professional Committee

5.1 Nominations and elections process forthcoming

We will need to have new officers. Everyone should feel called to take up a position. Those leaving (completing a second term) are Yvonne, Ed, Sirje, and Eunice. The election process begins again this October. If you are coming to the end of your first term, do not neglect to have yourself nominated.

5.3 Translation funding, policy

Thanks to those of you who have provided translations for the papers for our open session. In preparation for next year, let's 1) charge someone on next year's programme committee with the responsibility of obtaining translations for our open session papers, and 2) ask for volunteer translators from the SC this week and so that the individual in responsible will already have the names of volunteer translators when the final papers are submitted. We agreed to add a call for translators to our agenda for each of the SC meetings.

6. Section Development since the last meeting

6.1 Working Groups

6.1.1 Namespaces Task Group (Gordon Dunsire)

Dereferencing services were done as the result of two requests (one to IFLA HQ from a group in the US) and one to the SC. This is evidence of usage of the namespaces. The other evidence is the use by national libraries to publish catalogs. Both ISBD and FRBR elements are actively being used. The MulDiCat vocabulary has been transferred to the open metadata registry for maintenance purposes and will allow translations to be added to these terms. Guidelines for IFLA Namespaces is a document being considered. Related to the list of all the IFLA standards compiled by the Standards Committee, guidelines have been produced for translating the name spaces – initially developed by ISBD Review Group and then generalized by the Namespaces T.G. Once the alignments between content and carrier vocabularies are approved, the next step is to semantically map the namespaces together.

The Namespaces T.G. meeting to which everyone is invited as observers will be at 8am Monday. We hope to clarify relationships between the activity of the Namespaces T.G. and whomever that group might report to (perhaps the next committee on Standards?).

For more information about the work of the T.G., see the Namespaces page linked from the C&I section page; it includes links to meeting minutes and C&I Section newsletter reports.

6.1.2 W.G. on Guidelines for Subject Access in Bibliographic Agencies

Jo-Anne thanked the group for publishing such a good work, and especially Yvonne for chairing the committee. Yvonne reported that the approval process occurred in December and most of the committee members voted to approve. The Guidelines were sent to De Gruyter in January with the help of Louis at IFLA HQ. On April 25, 2012, the book was published. Twenty-three colleagues from eleven countries worked together for eight years. It was a pleasure for her to chair the working group. Thanks to all who contributed. Since mid-July, 56 copies have been sold. It is \$84 or 64 euros. We will promote the Guidelines on Tuesday at 4:15pm at the Replay Theater in the Exhibition area, there will be a poster presentation promoting the guidelines on Monday and Tuesday, and Yvonne will report on the Guidelines at the satellite meeting in Tallinn. If you have other ideas for promoting the Guidelines or for continuing the work to keep it current, please bring your ideas to the SC. The Guidelines should also be available as an ebook that can be made freely available online one year after the print publication. If we want this, the publisher needs a statement from the committee indicating why we want a free online edition. A lengthy discussion on open access ensued, and Yvonne agreed to draft the request.

6.2 Report on other actions undertaken by the Section in 2011/2012 (membership, liaison)

6.2.1 FRBR Review Group (Pat Riva)

Copies of the FRBR Review Group's Report of Activities, 2011-2012 were distributed at the Cataloguing SC this morning.

The Working Group on Aggregates (begun in 2005), chaired by Ed O'Neill, submitted its final report on September 12, 2011. Their final report is available at <http://www.ifla.org/en/node/923>. The Review Group accepted it with thanks and agreed to consider its recommendations and analysis during the FR family consolidation process.

The first translations of FRSAD have been published (French, Korean, Spanish, Croatian, and Chinese), bringing the total number of translations to five. FRAD was translated into Korean bringing the total to nine. Nineteen translations of FRBR are currently available.

Cataloging & Classification Quarterly v. 50, no. 5/7 (2012) is a special theme issue titled, The FRBR Family of Conceptual Models: Toward a Linked Future, guest edited by Richard P. Smiraglia. It will be published as a monograph in 2013. Several members of the FRBR Review Group participated as co-editors and as authors, many others contributed from around the world. The foreword is by Patrick Le Boeuf.

Namespaces (see Gordon's report). The Review Group is very happy to have the FRAD and FRSAD element sets in the Open Metadata Registry set to "Published" status, and to have the dereferencing services implemented.

At its midyear meeting on April 25 at the British Library, the Review Group began work on consolidating the entity-relationship models. The result is a proposed statement of users and five user tasks that encompass the three models. See the June issue of the Cataloging Section newsletter (#37). A subgroup met yesterday afternoon on the definitions of the entities. We have more to do before the consolidation will be ready for worldwide review.

In liaison work, the 18th FRBR-CIDOC CRM meeting was held and the analysis of the FRAD relationships was completed, and the class and property descriptions were refined. The 2011 FRBR project funds, along with assistance from the C&I Section admin funds made FRBR Review Group representation at this meeting possible. At the 19th meeting all the class and property descriptions resulting from FRAD and FRSAD were completed and only editorial work remains to be done. The goal is to issue this consolidated version of 2.0 of FRBRoo for comment in 2012 and also to include an FRBRoo namespace in the OMR.

Regarding the elections in 2013, it is important to look for interesting and keen members to replace Pat and Maja who are coming to the end of their terms. It is important for the C&I SC to maintain a member on the FRBR Review Group.

6.2.2

Please attend the Semantic Web SIG meeting on Wednesday, 1:45pm, in session room 6.

6.3 Section Newsletter (Yvonne Jahns)

Two newsletters were published, in December and in June. Thanks to all who contributed pictures and text, and to Sandy who helped with publishing and promoting. Publication is very easy but it is difficult to get the country reports. We continue to have no email contact with our corresponding members. Yvonne plans to create a new layout for the next newsletter. She would like more special reports and interviews rather than the country reports. The country reports were originally introduced to save time at our meetings during IFLA; therefore it is a pity that we don't have them.

Should we consider a blog instead of a newsletter? Do colleagues around the world still print out the newsletters?

Aida reported that UDC uses the newsletter often. She puts links to it on the UDC site. If the news comes as a blog, you will lose the chronology (what happened this year, last year, etc.). She likes it as it is now.

A blog is one technology. From an editor's point of view, it is more work – like publishing one article at a time and therefore more continuous/constant work on the part of the editor.

The Bibliography section moved the newsletter to a blog. If you have trouble getting news for the newsletter, then you will have the same problem with the blog. Better to have two newsletters during the year than to have a very poor blog. Could we have both? Blogs are easier to read than a 50 page, two column newsletter. John DeSantis advocates for the retention of the newsletter in a format from which it is possible to print. Gordon supports the idea of a blog on an experimental basis. It is extremely important that blogs don't appear to stagnate. Depending on the blog software you use, it may tell you that the blog is being referenced by others – you join a chain of linked communication. The Newsletter editor could actually pull sections from the blog, edit them, and include them in the newsletter. Blogs have advantages and disadvantages. He is increasingly frustrated that in 2012 much of the thinking is still about print-based culture and print requirements. We need to explore different ways. For instance, why aren't we tweeting things? Gordon made the leap to a blog this year and found it much less difficult than he anticipated.

Lynne supported the idea of a pilot for a blog. On the other hand, this is a group that does a lot of its work around themes and pieces. We get a lot of lovely chunks of information from various reports like those we've had today, for example. It might also be an interesting recruitment tool for new members to the section, and for a diverse demographic for the section. Let's give it a try. A blog might be used to feed newsletter pieces. Gordon volunteered to be the blog editor or to assist Yvonne in some way on something experimental, so that we could evaluate.

[break]

Announcement (Mauro): The papers from "Global Interoperability and Linked Data in Libraries" will be published as a special issue of J LIS.it, the Italian Journal of Library and Information Science (v. 4, no. 1, 2013). See www.ilis.it.

7. Section's Action Plan (2012-2013)

7.1

IFLA says that we will continue for one year with the actions within the strategic plan. Magdalena worked on this last year but Jo-Anne does not have any papers from that discussion. How can we restart our conversations? Lynne, Sandy, and Jo-Anne agreed to be a very small working group, to meet during the conference, and to email their findings to the SC for discussion.

7.2 Establishment of new working groups

Can the SC do something useful in Namespaces? Mapping existing schemes from one to another, monitoring at least, if not being directly involved. Look into classification schemes through FRASD [Maja]. There are things happening. [Gordon] The extended publication of DDC as linked data will assist this work enormously. Where there are subject schemes like DDC and UDC perhaps we have a role to play – monitoring, coordinating, and providing advice. Could this idea be formed into a working group? Gordon stated that this would be difficult without knowing where the Namespaces T.G. is going. Now the only namespaces being published are bibliographic, but the Standards committee has said that other non-bibliographic standards would also be available for namespaces,

which diffuses the focus. Liaison activity drives the work. Could we consider setting up a Subgroup of the Namespaces that looks at bibliographic namespaces specifically? If the Namespaces group isn't able to do this itself, then the SC could/should do it. The need for the activity is self evident. At the next SC meeting we should have more information and be able to clarify.

Aida mentioned that a maintained classification has to have deprecated bits and this doesn't work in linked data. How can we expose historic links, enable the system to identify what's relevant, what's current, and what is outdated? The outdated pieces should be automatically redirected; this is essential for universal connectivity. Gordon stated that the area where this would occur is provenance -- when was it legitimate, during what periods was it legitimate, etc. How can this be expressed in machine actionable form? SKOS doesn't support this but the DCMI and the W3C both have provenance working groups that both hope to publish their results by the end of this year. If that is the case, this would be the right time to see how this can be applied.

The ALA-LC/DNB proposal of a MARC 883 field for data provenance was approved and final comments were sent on Wednesday (Joan Mitchell). Lots of important work is being done right now that touches on how we represent schemes and how we express relationships between schemes. This would be very interesting for a subgroup to look at. Perhaps just waiting six more months might be right on target for this group to start working. Jo-Anne mentioned that a group that would survey all of this work would be good for the time being. If a group should be established it should also look outside of the library world, for instance genus/species name changes in biology. We cannot publish classifications without being extremely concerned about this -- why would a library need to keep a history of old classifications? SKOS ignores these problems. There are niches of things that are not addressed at all. Gordon added that this touches on ISBD because rules change; the data produced under old rules still exists. It will make things easier for everyone if we can tell under what rules the metadata was created (titles, classification, etc.). While it is too soon to take any action other than to monitor, but perhaps our work should be to liaise. The results might be very general and they might want comments on their reports to which we might contribute. Diagnostics, a taxonomy of problems -- where do the problems happen, and on which level of data, of standards, of controlled vocabularies? DDC has different principles, URIs. UDC has a new edition every year and so we have completely transparent URIs. When users in libraries start to publish their catalogs, these will crop up. When you publish library data as web data, you lose the context immediately [Gordon]. There is a legitimate concern of how to establish trust. When you publish a very large catalog like WorldCat in Schema.org with all its legacy data, it can be very badly interpreted by users. This needs to be clarified in a machine actionable way.

8. Overview of the Helsinki Conference Programme

8.1 Open Programme (Lynne Howarth)

It will be Tuesday, 9:30-11:30, session room 3. Nine submissions were received. Thanks to Yvonne and Eunice for their review. Four papers were accepted; two are Finnish, one from the U.S.A., and one from Poland. We will have a surprise guest speaker, the Director of the University of Eastern Finland Library.

8.2 Overview of Tallinn Satellite Meeting (Sirje Nilbe)

This will be next Friday and Saturday in Tallinn, and will include thirteen presentations and one roundtable. So far, 108 participants have registered. Thanks to Joan, Maja, and Gordon. As you know Magdalena left but not before most of the work of the chair had been done. Also thanks to John DeSantis, Yvonne Jahns, and Sandy Roe who volunteered to chair a session.

9. 2013, Singapore Conference Plans (17-23 August 2013)

Theme: Future libraries, Infinite possibilities. Be thinking of a theme, and whether we might partner with another group – perhaps one that we don't often work with like Reference. We'll come back and talk about this during the SC II.

Announcement: The Canadian representative to the Joint Steering Committee is retiring and Pat Riva and Lynne Howarth are putting together a memory book for her and they would appreciate messages from her international colleagues.

SC2

Added item 13.1 to the agenda: Co-opting of a new member (Jo-Anne Bélair)

Added item 13.2 Strategic/Action plan (Janis Young)

Added item 13.4 Statement in support of open access for *Guidelines on Subject Access in National Bibliographies* (Yvonne Jahns)

Added item 13.5 Budget matters

Added item 13.6 Secretary, and resulting Information Coordinator vacancy

Added item 14.2 Publication of the Proceedings from Tallinn (Ed O'Neill)

Added item 14.3 Bibliography section statement on the importance of UBC (Jo-Anne Bélair)

10. Discussion / Evaluation of Helsinki Conference

10.1 Open programme evaluation

Congratulations to the program committee: Lynne, Eunice, and Yvonne. This year we asked for full papers, and found that very useful in order to evaluate candidates for presentations. This provided a much better idea of what we would be getting and how the various papers might fit together. The program committee also provided extensive comments to the authors that influenced the author's ultimate presentation. We had 319 attendees. All of the speakers were very good to arrive early, and all presentations were supposedly available to open and load but in fact only one paper would open and we had to go back to the authors for copies of their presentations. New systems should be more thoroughly tested beforehand. [Hostage] Linked data papers typically have not shown results or how the user benefits; this was a weakness with these papers, too.

10.2 Overall organization

Volunteers were very supportive; it was helpful to have a technical person at the open programme. Well managed; room set up appropriately. We weren't too successful in obtaining translations, so if the volunteers or a volunteer coordinator could come forward at the time that each new program committee is formed, then the program committee would know who to contact when the time comes. The papers are only linked from the final program in English and German; the French are coming; the Russian are done. This year's papers (as well as those from previous years) should be linked from section web page. John Hostage asked that the agenda plus any other documents slated for discussion be made available from the website in advance of the conference. All agreed. It was easier to eat this year. It was confusing that so many of the meetings (review group meetings, working group meetings, task group meetings, etc.) aren't in the program (Janis Young). There certainly could be additional ways to make these meetings known – website, class-list, blog, etc.

10.3 Identify the Section's candidate paper from the open programme for publication in *IFLA Journal*

The paper, "Kirjasampo – an inspiring, surprising and empowering access to fiction," by Finnish author Kaisa Hypén was selected.

[There was no agenda item 11.]

12 IFLA Matters

12.1 Future of International Cataloguing and Bibliographic Control (ICBC)

Jo-Anne asked Patrice Landry for an update on the status of ICBC; he referred her to PC chair, Ann Okerson. Ann indicated that there was no news, and Jo-Anne asked to be kept apprised. The outcome of our discussion was that Maja would draft an endorsement for ICBC from the SC. Jo-Anne will contact Ann Okerson in order to find out where we should send our endorsement.

13. Unfinished business or updates from the first meeting

13.1 Co-opting of a new member (Jo-Anne Bélair)

The SC added a member in 2009 that has not been present. IFLA's Rules of Procedures state in 12.27: "Any member of a Standing Committee who fails to attend two consecutive meetings of the committee without reasonable explanation shall be deemed to have resigned. The place thus vacated shall be filled according to the provisions in Rules 12.25 and 12.26" Jo-Anne has sent a letter to that effect. A new member, Angela Green, has agreed to fill out that individual's term. She works at Texas A&M University in Qatar.

13.2 Strategic/Action plan

Sandy, Lynne and Jo-Anne have been working on the document that Magdalena and Jo-Anne began.

Janis described the following proposal:

[This text was received after the meeting, and is substituted for her verbal description.] Since 2007, the Library of Congress has been developing genre/form terms, which define what a work is rather than what it is about. *Library of Congress Genre/Form Terms for Library and Archival Materials* (LCGFT), as the thesaurus is known, so far includes terms for the disciplines of moving images, spoken-word recorded sound, cartography, and law. Within the next few years it will also include terms for literature, music, and religion, as well as terms that cross disciplines ("general terms" such as dictionaries, encyclopedias, etc.). LC is collaborating with several U.S.-based library organizations, including the American Library Association (ALA), the American Association of Law Libraries (AALL), the Music Library Association (MLA), and the American Theological Library Association (ATLA), to develop LCGFT.

LCGFT is intended to include genre/form terms only, and therefore does not include explicit indications of nationality, ethnicity, language, chronology, category of creator, audience, or geography. *Library of Congress Subject Headings* (LCSH) form headings do include this information in headings such as **Nurses' writings, American; Children's literature, French; German literature—Italian authors; German literature—Italy**. Headings of this type have been applied to collections of literature for many decades, but they will not be incorporated into LCGFT. (They will, however, be retained by LCSH and may continue to be applied to works about nurses' writings, German literature from Italy, etc.)

The ALA Subject Analysis Committee, Subcommittee on Genre/Form Implementation (SGFI), with which LC is collaborating to develop literature terms, has been adamant that the category of creator and audience facets in particular are vital to include in the cataloging records. If the point of a collection of fiction is that all of the authors are from China, then the nationality of the authors is important and should be an access point. LC agrees, and SGFI is drafting a proposal to add a new field to the MARC 21 format for this express purpose. Some of SGFI's members believe that existing vocabularies could be repurposed for this field, but LC believes that a new, purpose-built, vocabulary would be better.

Janis has been mulling over the idea of developing the new vocabulary as linked data instead of using MARC. A vocabulary for nationalities and ethnicities could be multilingual and set up as a synonym ring, with no single language form taking precedence over the others. Within the cataloging records, the MARC field would contain the URI, and the computer would be programmed to use the URI to bring the appropriate language form into the catalog. For instance, a German-language catalog would be programmed to retrieve the German term Deutsch from the synonym ring, while an English-language catalog would retrieve German, and an Italian catalog, Tedesco.

The vocabulary's usefulness wouldn't be limited to library cataloging records, but could serve a variety of needs within libraries and beyond.

It seems that this project would be a good fit for the Classification and Indexing Section, since the outcome would be a multilingual controlled vocabulary. Is this something that the C&I Section would be willing to take on?

Discussion: This is the kind of thing that should be encouraged and would require coordination with the Namespaces group. MulDiCat has the same structure as the one proposed. Access to a namespace that you can incrementally develop piece by piece is something that is very much in the cards for MulDiCat. The function of MulDiCat within the IFLA context is to provide vocabulary for IFLA documentation, and so include in this project both the construction of the vocabulary but also guidance for its use (including as a translation device). Multilingual development and application of standards are IFLA's concerns. Semantic web allows a single URI but with multiple languages attached to it. This would have to be done PURELY within the IFLA context. We need to further develop the MulDiCat approach as a real application of a multi-lingual common namespace. Suggest doing a feasibility study this first year. IFLA PC is most interested in projects that cut across sections/divisions/languages [Gordon]. From Janis' point of view they would need folks with both interests – those from a variety of language groups and those that are interested in the technical aspects (the namespace aspects). *In order to test the vocabulary: 1. Identify functional requirements – what is it for, what is its intended use. 2. Take 3-4 languages to be supplied by volunteers from within the Section itself (include a CJK language). 3. Then take whatever additional terms come out of your project from LC, so that LC could simply be seen as a contributor.* Gordon would liaison with this new group. Janis would coordinate to make sure that people aren't working at cross purposes. We will need a written description of the project and what is hoped to be accomplished in the coming year. Preference was expressed for making it a multilingual vocabulary upfront – rather than simply building it in English. Those who expressed interest included Jo-Anne and Pino. Gordon will inform the Namespaces T.G. of what this group is intending to do and invite comments from them.

13.4 Guidelines on Subject Access in National Bibliographies – statement in support of open access for this document (Yvonne Jahns)

Yvonne read out the statement which concludes, "We encourage IFLA to move forward with the implementation of its open access policy." The statement was approved with John Hostage's suggestion, and Jo-Anne will send it to IFLA HQ.

13.5 Budget matters

Nothing further was discussed.

13.6

John Hostage agreed to finish out the second year of Sandy Roe's term as Information Coordinator, since she agreed to take Magdalena's last year as Secretary.

This led to a brief discussion about the IFLA website and the C&I website. When IFLA starts translating all the website pages, they will begin with French and Spanish. We have a good representation of members with those languages. Perhaps this translation process will assist with recruiting members. As soon as the amended agenda and the draft minutes are sent to everyone in English, we will also ask for the SC's assistance in the translation of these documents. Wen offered to do Chinese and to take part in this project. Perhaps we could get Arabic with Angela Green's assistance. This is not to be confused with IFLA's initiative to create a French language IFLA website and a Spanish language IFLA website by 2014.

Functional Requirements for Subject Authority Data (FRSAD) has been published in Russian, and this should be announced on the C&I website.

13.7 Report from the Namespaces Task Group

The Standards Committee was unable to provide any information because they had not yet met. Patrice Landry, the Chair, told Gordon verbally to proceed as if things would be sorted out. We have been assured that they would rectify the situation by the end of the year, and hope to communicate some information by the end of IFLA. Draft guidelines from the ISBD Review Group have been completed and generalized so that they can be applied to all IFLA namespaces. Expect to see this draft by the end of this calendar year. Two new tasks: draft similar guidelines on the use of IFLA namespaces. The second is to look at this "commons" idea – how to make namespaces interoperable by removing restraints so that someone could enter a common space to make something interoperable. Finally a bibliographic standards subgroup of Namespaces is needed; this could just be ad hoc, or properly constituted. There is a recognition that the focus on bibliographic standards needs to be maintained even if the focus of the group expands to management standards alignment. Patrice has spoken to IFLA's financial secretary and she understands this situation in the interim – the C&I section supported the Namespaces group in its first year, and Cataloguing supported Namespaces this year. At this stage, Namespaces hasn't decided to cost any projects for the coming year. Namespaces will remain with C&I, linked from their web pages and so on.

13.8 2013 Singapore Conference Plans

Maja suggested an idea based on the conference theme: *Subject access: Infinite possibilities*, saying we need some arguments for the value of subject access. Jo-Anne will send around a Doodle pool as she did last year, and we will continue the discussion via email.

13.9 2014 Conference Plans

The group decided not to consider a 2014 satellite meeting, stating that three years between satellite meetings is more realistic.

14. Other business

14.1 Satellite meeting in Tallinn (Sirje)

A short visit to the national library is possible on Friday morning at 11am. Those interested should meet at 10:50am at the conference hotel in Tallinn which is very close to the national library (which is currently under reconstruction).

Let's select a hash tag for tweeting about the Satellite meeting so that it can be announced on the IFLA feed (John DeSantis).

14.2 Publication of the Proceedings from Tallinn (Ed O'Neill)

Maja, Ed, and Sandy have volunteered to serve as editors. We would like it to be published in the red series (Series on Bibliographic Control) according to precedent. We plan to have the proceedings ready to go to the publisher by the end of the year; to include reviewed papers so that

authors are able to submit improved papers, and include the roundtable discussion. Jo-Anne will approach IFLA about this and prepare them for our request.

14.3 Bibliography section statement on the importance of UBC (Jo-Anne Béclair)

Sandy will circulate the UBC statement by email.

Thank you! Picture time!