1. How do you rate your experience of the satellite meeting overall? -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. How do you rate the quality of the content of the presentation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. How do you rate the quality of the delivery of the presentations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. How do you rate the quality of the conference proceedings – from the abstracts?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. How do you rate the organization of the conference program (scheduling of sessions, time keeping in sessions, breaks, etc.):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Please identify one key “takeaway” from this conference:
   - Networking (MENTIONED TWICE)
   - The experiences in workshop session (MENTIONED TWICE)
   - Personal branding and planning my career
   - Loved session of Ulrike Lang – great topics / presentation skills
   - I feel I have been given the skills to better report back at my workplace and continue to learn in my profession
   - The importance of managing my own professional development
   - That there are so many tools out there for PD that I wasn’t aware of.
   - The CILLIP information
   - Workplace learning peer mentors
   - Team work
   - Different strategies for career planning

7. Please tell us what you liked most about the satellite meeting.
   - The interaction / networking (MENTIONED TEN TIMES)
   - The workshop in room 2 (MENTIONED FOUR TIMES)
   - The sessions were small – encouraged one to participate freely (MENTIONED TWICE)
   - The variety of presentation formats, workshops, personal stories, round talks (MENTIONED TWICE)
   - The keynotes
   - The location
   - The friendliness of the organizers
   - Making me aware of importance of improving my work activities
   - The diversity of topics
   - The people / participants (MENTIONED TWICE)
   - The CPDWL meeting opened up a totally new world for me on a subject I didn’t even know existed in our profession.
   - I heard about so many things I didn’t know of and this was a boost to me.
   - Activities / Presentations / Tours
   - Topics all interesting.
   - Timing was perfect.
   - Food was great.

8. Please tell us what you liked the least about the satellite meeting.
   - The cold room (MENTIONED FOUR TIMES)
• The food (MENTIONED FOUR TIMES). One noted that there wasn't enough variety – had same sandwiches. Not enough hot food. Food ran out.
• The bus delay (MENTIONED THREE TIMES)
• People that just read their presentations and were not audible enough (MENTIONED TWICE)
• The wifi didn’t work.
• No utensils for food
• No designated seating
• No funding / scholarships
• Lack of print conference proceedings
• The conference bag
• The keynotes
• That we could not attend ALL the sessions
• Some moderators were unprepared – cut time on the spot and had trouble with powerpoint.
• Need better time keeping. Some people took too long and ate into other people’s time.

9. Do you have any other comments you’d like to make?
• Include more practical sessions (MENTIONED THREE TIMES)
• It would be nice to have a list of participants with names, institution and email. (MENTIONED TWICE)
• Need tables for lunch. (MENTIONED TWICE)
• Not so many simultaneous sessions (MENTIONED TWICE)
• Thank you for a great satellite meeting. It was really informative, inspiring and encouraging.
• Congratulations!
• Keep up the good work
• The professional development consultations were an excellent idea. I came out of these totally equipped and confident to follow my career aspirations.
• Thanks for all the knowledge shared.
• Excellent program planning.
• The green back should be maintained.
• Publicize to all professionals in the sector

10. Please suggest topics for future satellite meetings
• Leadership and management (MENTIONED TWICE)
• Library design
• Going along with mentors and mentees the CPDWL way
• Something incorporating diversity and pedagogy
• Training the trainers
• Benefits and impact of CPD
• Workplace learning models
• E-learning
• E-resources
• Advancement in career specialists opportunities
• Role of library associations in CPD
• Future of CPD – face to face or digital?
• Career development plans