August 18, 2016

Document Delivery and Resource Sharing Standing Committee

1. Welcome: Attendance: 14 in person (8 committee members and 6 observers) and 2 online committee members

   Committee Members: Clare MacKeigan, Candice Townsend, Ertugrul Cimen, Silvana Mangiaracina, Jarmo Saarti, Peter Bae (Information Coordinator), Pentti Vattulainen (Chair), and Peter Collins (Secretary – minute taker)

   Online Member Participants: Harald Muller and Svein Inge Opdahl

   Apologies: Freeman Zulu, Lei Wang, and Brigitte Clement

   Observers: Eve Woodberry, Emanuela Secinaro, Carlyle Best, Brian Miller, Cecilia Justino, and Elena Bernardini

2. Copyright and other Legal Matters (CLM) report, Evelyn Woodberry

   Update: Major issues on the agenda: Implication of the Marrakesh treaty. It has 20 signatories which will allow it to come into effect September 2016. The treaty grants the ability to exchange documents for legally blind or sight limited individuals across borders. Documents in DAISY format can be shared. A few concerns: There could be pressure to increase amount of personal information about the user recorded which could become a privacy issue. Another concern: Charging for the transaction could happen; no requirement but rights organizations could do this. DDRS should be involved in the implementation to help address these type of issues.

   CLM representatives will be in attendance at the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). Discussing the treaty on limitations and exceptions. Standard set of limitations and exceptions for libraries, including document supply. Next meeting is November 2016. Don’t know the outcome. Looking at IFLA’s strategy and working with other organizations to get this through.

   CLM is looking at copyright reform and had several programs at this conference covering the issue.

   On the horizon: CLM will be looking at net neutrality and zero rating. Access to information. Licensing (how to manage licensing so that they don’t override copyright).

   Question: Marrakesh treaty comes into force in September. Only 20 signed. Is this enough? EU countries have not signed. What about those countries? What happens if they don’t agree to the treaty?

   Answer: 20 people signing is sufficient for it to come into force. Now need to push the other countries to sign up. Will only apply to the countries that have signed, but it creates pressure on others. WIPO could push compliance.

   Question: How can our committee help?

   Answer: Talk to Winston Tabb. Opportunity to provide examples that would help push the cause. Harold M. has been to WIPO. Hard to talk to the right people to make a difference.

   Question: Are there opportunities to collaborate at WLIC 2017
Answer: CLM could contribute speakers or recommendations of speakers if your program includes something like Marrakesh, but CLM has already decided on its programs for the conference.

3. Approval of the agenda  
Approved

4. Treasurer’s update: Pentti Vattulainen  
Committee gets 100 Euro a year. Last year we did not use. Are there ways we should use?

5. Information Coordinator’s report  
- Use of the webpages  
Do we get statistics on use of the page? No, but IFLA has said that our web page is one of the most visited on the web site.

We should have everything linked from the main IFLA page, including social media accounts  
Peter B. no longer has control of the committee members page.

- blog  
Guest bloggers: Could we get members to contribute? Peter B. tried to do this last year but people didn’t step up. Could be description of activities in your own country or other resource sharing topics. Does not need to be a member of the committee. Nordic ILL conference is in October 2016. But the blog is password protected so updates need to be handled by Peter B. People can send content to Peter B. and he will format for the blog. Pictures need to be very small file size due to platform limitations.

Suggested bloggers and topics:

1. ISO standard (Clare)  
2. Satellite meeting report (Candice)  
3. Report on IFLA Vouchers (Peter C.)  
4. Report out on committee meetings at WLIC 2016 (Peter B.)  
5. Nordic ILL (Jarmo)

- Facebook  
Should we do this? Not available in China. Webchat. QQ.

We do have a Facebook: Yes, it is called IFLA Document Delivery and Resource Sharing  
- Libraries are marketing themselves through social media. The problem is what platform to use. Availability seems to vary by country. Maybe we can create multiple versions.

6. Report on events in Columbus  
Three papers presented at our program meeting. 99 people attended. Papers have been sent to IFLA library to be posted.

Visit to Ohio State University was very successful. 52 participants. Big thank you to Brian Miller and everyone at Thompson Library. The tour was on Tuesday the 16 and contained three parts:

1. Description of Resource Sharing at OSU
2. Tour of behind the scenes staff areas in the library
3. Overview of updating the ALA ILL code which took place over the last two years.

What makes a dynamic IFLA professional unit? The new Secretary General wants to promote active committees. We will report out as this evolves. The Officers are submitting a form with out response to questions to IFLA. DDRS was a good example of a dynamic committee, especially with community outreach through ILDS, Satellite meetings, and the IFLA Vouchers.

7. Pending matters from the first meeting
   - Open session theme for Wroclaw (pronounced - vrotswaf), programme subcommittee

Brainstorming: Something about possibility of digital delivery. Marrakesh Treaty of delivery to sight impaired. Have someone talk about how libraries deal with this material which comes in different formats. National Library for the Blind (Chris) – Candice knows someone and might be able to solicit a paper. Marrakesh Treaty more about delivery of items within the library, not as much about interlibrary delivery(?). No, it specifically includes sharing among libraries.

Maybe a panel with broad perspective from around the world. Challenges of cross border interlibrary loan.

What about round table discussions? Paperwork to schedule meetings has ability to say what kind of room and setup you want. Problem with discussions is that this conference (unlike Satellite or ILDS) brings together lots of people not just ILL focused people.

Solidarity – What are the difficulties? But try to highlight positives/success. Marrakesh an example. Lending of ebooks.

Overcoming challenges: Resource sharing Success stories
(the volunteers will discuss title further)

Volunteers, program subcommittee: Peter C., Ertugrul, Clare, Candice

- ILDS, Paris, theme, programme subcommittee

Have round table discussions as part of this program. Ertugrul, Jarmo, Peter B., Pentti, Silvana

Focus on international lending. Organize workshop on international ILL(?). Maybe the day before conference starts. Workshop focus on French issues and challenges (ILL 101). Why focus only to France? Shouldn’t this be broader to cover EU? Will talk to organizers and get input. What about language?

Suggested Program Name: No library left behind: Cross-border resource sharing

- Guidelines etc update
The ILL guidelines needs to be updated. All members should be involved. Reach out beyond the committee for input. Peter B. will send a time schedule and coordinate this work. Basecamp only allows Chair and Secretary to create accounts; so they will create one for this task. Brian Miller has written an article about the process of how they updated the US ILL code which can help guide our process. Peter B. will share this article. Would like to have a draft to discuss at WLIC 2017 and then a more refined draft at ILDS.
Should look at other publications on the web site to see if anything else needs updating.

8. Mid-term meeting  
When? Second half of March? Need to check the IFLA deadlines for papers and will schedule the meeting accordingly. What platform? Clare has volunteered Relais’ WebEx. Firm up the date by December.

9. Any other business  
Dynamic committees: IFLA trying to get all of the members of committees involved.

Next year is a voting year. We will need to get new members. Aren’t members required to be active? Yes, if they don’t show up for two meetings in a row, they can be removed. We want active people. There are committee members that we have never met. IFLA now requires consent from employers as a way to help make sure the member will be active. What is the procedure and what are the deadlines? IFLA will let us know.

Deadline for new members and nominations is likely February 2017. If we have members who are not showing we should terminate prior to the new elections.

10. Close of the meeting