LIDATEC Inaugural meeting
Chair: Lars Svensson.
LIDATEC members:
- Gordon Dunsire, Independent Consultant (first term)
- Shawky Salem, Alex Centre for Multimedia & Libraries, Egypt (first term).
- Mirna Willer, University of Zadar, Croatia (first term).
- Uldis Bojars, National Library of Latvia (first term).
- Representative from the Committee on Standards: Abraham Azubuike.

1. Welcome

2. Apologies: Uldis Bojars

3. Short round of introductions.
   Incoming ISBD RG Chair (Massimo Gentili-Tedeschi) and incoming FRBR RG Chair (Chris Oliver) both present as observers.

4. Scope of the committee
   - Coordinate the development of namespaces by IFLA groups who wish to publish standards in a linked data form.
   - Develop and promote guidelines for the efficient and effective management of IFLA namespaces.
   - Discuss and formulate the framework for a namespace registration system for IFLA standards.
   - Develop training materials for units wishing to publish their standards in namespace registry
   - Oversee the development of infrastructure to support the efficient and effective management of IFLA namespaces.
   - Support the Committee on Standards in areas of linked data and the Semantic Web.
   - Act as liaison with non-IFLA groups involved in the organization of information as linked data in the Semantic Web.
   - Promote and make more visible the IFLA namespaces and encourage their use in all appropriate communities.

May need liaison with Semantic Web SIG.
May need to think about outreach to ‘recruit’ contributions to activities: use links to sections and mailing list?
5. Review of actions from 2014 IFLA NS Technical Committee [Lars, Gordon]

6. MulDiCat Namespace (4.1):
   CoS needs to appoint a responsible person (open)

7. CJK comments on translation guidelines (4.2):
   Gordon to send out version 2 (open)

8. Documentation on guidelines on using namespaces, guidelines on translations and administration protocols (4.3):
   Namespaces technical group started to develop this, now this lies with LIDATEC. Per 2014 progress had been slow (open)

9. Guidelines on using and extending and IFLA namespaces (draft v0.0, 2013) (doc)

10. Issues of constrained and unconstrained namespaces (draft v0.0, 2013) (doc)


13. Reports on IFLA Namespaces [all]

14. FR Family
   In 2017, FRBR will need to publish its namespaces. Payment was needed in the past but Gordon said a free upload facility should be available in 2 months. RDA has a contract and annual fee to prioritize their work and guarantee access. In the past, Gordon had alpha and beta access via his membership of RDA JSC so he has been able to access and upload IFLA’s namespaces.

   It was suggested to have a phone conference later in the year when OMR’s free upload facilities are available to discuss what this might mean for IFLA.

15. ISBD

16. MulDiCat
   Miriam Säfström will raise this at CoS meeting tomorrow. The three Sections (Bibliography, Cataloguing and Classification) delivered a report to CoS in September 2014 asking CoS to take over as it’s a higher issue than any single section. Gordon helped publish it in OMR but maintenance and expansion procedures need putting in place.

17. UNIMARC
   Used project money to load namespaces and have about 600 Euros left.

18. IFLA Registry
Open questions – do we keep using OMR? What are the alternatives?
Gordon did a review of the alternatives to OMR for Dublin Core about 2 years ago. OMR was
the most suitable. He will circulate this report to LIDATEC for everyone to look at as a starting
point.

What is the form of the contract and cost associated with that?
Gordon will circulate the proposed draft contract for OMR from MMA and Joanne will try to
find out what happened after the discussion that took place in Lyon with Simon Lemstra, IFLA IT
Manager and Patrice Landry, then CoS Chair.

What will be the procedure for registering IFLA namespaces? A procedure for the Units is
needed. Other Sections might also want or need to publish their standards. LIDATEC should
therefore explain how to do this. Procedures also needed for adding translations and not only
for IFLA languages: this is not just about the authority to ‘stamp’ the translation but also the
permissions to edit the IFLA namespaces. Namespaces should be part of publishing strategy. It
is an alternative way of publishing, so if a translation is produced, they should also have
responsibility to put that translation in the namespaces.

Mappings need to be held separate from the namespaces on a trusted site.

19. Liaison with external groups and projects [all]

20. License for IFLA Namespaces
What licence is needed? CoS will be asked. At the moment it is CC BY NC. If it needs changing
on OMR it might incur development costs.

21. Future work
Priorities:
Infrastructure – top priority.
Procedures for upload, maintenance, translations, best practice.
Priorities and ‘how to’ for uploading.

Skype meeting for September and aim to get a recommendation on infrastructure to CoS by the
end of September to pass on to the GB/PC by mid-November for discussion/decision in early
December.

22. Revision of guidelines

23. AOB

24. IFLA Project to create an ontology for data curator roles and responsibilities [Anna Maria
Tammaro]

25. End of meeting